

Submission Guidelines for <u>INTERIM</u> Assessment Reports (assessment results from AY 2016-17) **Guidelines**:

- 1) Submission deadline: October 2, 2017, early submissions are encouraged
- 2) Submit electronically to Yvonne Kirby (Director of OIRA) as an email attachment (ykirby@ccsu.edu)
- 3) Provide a SEPARATE REPORT for each academic program. All <u>certificate and degree programs are required to be assessed per NEASC. Check the reporting calendar to see which certificate programs are considered embedded in a degree program as these programs do not need to <u>be reported on separately.</u></u>
- 4) An Interim report consists of the completed Overview report for the academic program and General Education Overview, if appropriate.
 - a. If your department contributes to the General Education (GE) curriculum and has not conducted any assessment on GE but your faculty have contributed artifacts to the Multi-State Collaborative, please indicate which faculty have provided artifacts (item 7 in the GE report).

Reminder: Assessment reporting is on a five-year cycle, consisting of a full report in year one followed by interim reports for three years and then a summary report is due in the fifth year. The assessment cycle is aligned with the Program Review Cycle such that the full assessment report is due the year prior to the year that the department will submit their program review report. Departments are not required to submit an assessment report for a program in the year the department is scheduled to begin writing the Program Review self-study (see Program Review Policy and Assessment Calendar). For example, if your program is scheduled for program review in Spring 2017 or Fall 2017 then only a Summary assessment report will be due for that program in Fall 2017 (report covering AY 2016-17 activities); this is necessary to comply with BOR requirements. Departments that are accredited by an outside agency, and thus exempt from the Program Review Policy, should follow the guidelines for assessment reporting as described in this document and follow the Assessment Calendar. Where possible, the assessment cycle will be aligned with the accreditation cycle and a Summary report will be due in the year the self-study is due to the accrediting body.

Interim reports: complete <u>ONLY</u> the Overview for the program, complete with contribution to general education. URL to Assessment website resources: http://web.ccsu.edu/oira/assessment/assessment aap.asp

<u>Overview:</u> The following questions are required by the Connecticut State Colleges and University Board of Regents, NEASC and the CCSU Academic Assessment Committee. These questions must be completed annually for all academic programs as well as all departments offering courses in general education. Submit a separate table for each program and for each general education learning outcome the department teaches.

- You are encouraged to address the questions using bullet statements rather than paragraph form —full details should be included within the text of the full report when it is due, not in the Overview.
- **Interim reports**: the Overview should append clearly labeled data tables as appropriate for both the academic program as well as general education.

_		•	
O۱	nor	1116	214
\mathbf{v}	<i>-</i>	VIC	5 V V

Department: Technology & Engineering Education		
Report Preparer:	J.DeLaura	
Program Name and	Level: Technology & Engineering Education K-12 -	

Program Assessment Question	Response
URL : Provide the URL where the	www.ccsu.edu/Teched/learningOutcomes.htmlT
learning outcomes (LO) can be viewed.	
LO Changes : Identify any changes to	No Changes to learning outcomes were made during the reporting period.
the LO and briefly describe why they	
were changed (e.g., make LO more discrete,	
align LO with findings). If no changes were	
made, please report not applicable.	
Strengths: What about your	Current assessment process provides appropriate data.
assessment process is working well?	
Improvements: What about your	Department continues to collect data based on the new 120 SH graduation requirements. The department is in
assessment process needs to improve?	the process of adapting edTPA assessment procedures for the spring 2018 semester. We are currently running
(a brief summary of changes to assessment plan	a pilot program with our student teachers and expect to collect at the end of the fall 2017 semester. We plan to
can be reported here)	continue to use the edPTA and TASKstream tools for assessment.

For Each Learning Outcome (LO) complete questions 1, 2 and 3: Many programs have a large number of LOs, please limit the report to no more than five. LO 1.

1.1) <u>Assessment Instruments</u>: What is the source of the data/evidence, other than GPA, that is used to assess the stated outcomes? (e.g., capstone course, portfolio review and scoring rubric, licensure examination, etc.)

LO # 1: PRAXIS II, STUDENT TEACHING, TE 399, TE 400

Praxis II Examination required of all students for certification. Disposition instrument filed for each enrolled student with the School of Education & Professional Studies.

Assessment: PRAXIS examination scores are review for each student as reported to the university certification officer. Faculty review area scores for indications of appropriate curricular topics.

TE 399/TE 400 – Reflective Journal, Student Portfolio of Classwork and lesson preparation.

Assessment: Journal and student portfolio are reviewed by individual faculty with an appropriate rubric for the designated class.

Disposition instrument filed for each enrolled student with the School of Education & Professional Studies.

	Assessment: Student Teaching Rubric is review for each student completing the experience. Student, Faculty supervisor and cooperating teacher review the Rubric at the mid-term and final points.
1.2) Interpretation: Who interprets the	Faculty Supervisor, Cooperating Teacher and Certification Officer.
evidence? (e.g., faculty, Admn. assistant, etc.).	
1.3) Results : Since the most recent full	Conclusion:
report, list:	
a. The conclusion(s) drawn	Changes:
b. The changes that were or will be	No changes anticipated at this time.
made as a result of those conclusion(s)	

LO 2.	
2.1) Assessment Instruments:	Assessment: PRAXIS examination scores are review for each student as reported to the university certification officer. Faculty review ar scores for indications of appropriate curricular topics.
What is the source of the data/evidence, other than GPA, that is used to assess the stated	TE 399/TE 400 – Reflective Journal, Student Portfolio of Classwork and lesson preparation. Assessment: Journal and student portfolio are reviewed by individual faculty with an appropriate rubric for the designated class. Disposition instrument filed for each enrolled student with the School of Education & Professional Studies. Assessment: Student Teaching Rubric is review for each student completing the experience. Student, Faculty supervisor and cooperating teacher review the Rubric at the mid-term and final points.
outcomes?	Data recorded using Taskstream program.
(e.g., capstone	
course, portfolio review, licensure examination, etc.)	
2.2) Interpretation: Who interprets the evidence? (e.g., faculty, Admn. assistant, etc.).	Interpretation: Faculty, TE 399/TE 400 – Reflective Journal, Student Portfolio of Classwork and lesson preparation.
2.3) Since the most recent	Conclusion: Continue to collect appropriate data. Data collection results to be reported by Taskstream information.
full report, list: a. The conclusion(s) drawn b. The changes that were or will be made as a result of those conclusion(s)	Changes: No changes anticipated at this time.
LO 3:	
3.1) <u>Assessment</u>	STUDENT TEACHING, TE 215,TE 399, and TE 400

Instruments:	Weekly reflective journal submitted. Formal assessment tool prepared by the Office of Field Services at mid-term and final sessions of student teaching
For each LO,	Data recorded using Taskstream program.
what is the	
source of the	
data/evidence,	
other than	
GPA, that is	
used to assess	
the stated	
outcomes?	
(e.g., capstone	
course, portfolio	
review, licensure examination, etc.)	
3.2)	Faculty supervisor, Cooperating Teacher and Certification Officer. Rubric may be reviewed by appropriate faculty members.
Interpretation:	ractify supervisor, cooperating reacher and certification officer. Nublic may be reviewed by appropriate faculty members.
Who	
interprets the	
evidence? (e.g.,	
faculty, Admn.	
assistant, etc.).	
3.3) Since the	Conclusion:
most recent	Begin to collect data using TaskStream program.
full report, list:	Changes:
a. The	No changes anticipated at this time.
conclusion(s)	
drawn	
b. The changes	
that were or	
will be made	
as a result of	
those	
conclusion(s)	

LO 4	
4.1) Assessment Instruments: For each	Reflective Journal, Student Portfolio of classwork and lesson preparation.
LO, what is the source of the	
data/evidence, other than GPA, that is	
used to assess the stated outcomes?	
(e.g., capstone course, portfolio review, licensure examination, etc.)	
4.2) Interpretation: Who interprets the	Assigned faculty.
evidence? (e.g., faculty, Admn. assistant, etc.).	
4.3) Since the most recent full report,	Conclusion: Continue review of data collection process.
list:	
a. The conclusion(s) drawn	Changes:
b. The changes that were or will be	No anticipated changes at this time.
made as a result of those conclusion(s)	
LO 5	
5.1) Assessment Instruments: For each	Reflective journal, Student portfolio of classwork and lesson preparation and presentation.
LO, what is the source of the	
data/evidence, other than GPA, that is	
used to assess the stated outcomes?	
(e.g., capstone course, portfolio review, licensure examination, etc.)	
5.2) Interpretation: Who interprets the	Assigned faculty.
evidence? (e.g., faculty, Admn. assistant, etc.).	
5.3) Since the most recent full report,	Conclusion:
list:	Continue review of data collection process.
a. The conclusion(s) drawn	Changes:
b. The changes that were or will be	No anticipated changes at this time.
made as a result of those conclusion(s)	

Interim reports: append clearly labeled supporting data tables, organized by LO

SUMMARY DATA TABLE BY LEARNING OBJECTIVES

AY 2015-2017

T = TARGET (3) A= ACCEPTABLE (2) U = UNACCEPTABLE

MS= MEAN SCORE N= PARTICIPANTS

LEARNING OBJECTIVES	Т	Α	U	MS	N
LO #1: Teach/Assess basic knowledge and skills in TEE	25	5	0	80% T 20% A	30
LO #2: Assess student acquisition of age appropriate technology & engineering concepts	36	4	0	89% T 11% A	40
LO#3: Plan & maintain a safe learning environment and demonstrate skills to operate equipment and materials safely.	24	4	0	86% T 14% A	28
LO#4: Demonstrate ability to design standards based student challenges; integrate studies of STEM subjects.	15	4	0	74% T 26% A	19
LO#5: Demonstrate ability to adjust instructional approaches, to manage disparate student activities, and to administer assessments.	25	5	0	80% T 20% A	30

General Education Summary:

- 1. Summary only required for departments contributing to the General Education Curriculum.
- 2. If department contributes to more than one LO, complete one table for each LO.
- 3. If department has not conducted any assessment on GE but your faculty have contributed artifacts to the Multi-State Collaborative, please indicate which faculty have provided artifacts (item 7).
- 4. URL for the list of approved general education courses and LO/objectives: http://ccsu.smartcatalogiq.com/en/current/Undergraduate-Graduate-Catalog/Undergraduate-General-Education-Program

Department:NOT APPLICABLE	
General Education LO Assessed:	
Report Preparer:	

General Education Question	Response
1) Courses : General Education course(s)	
taught and the LO(s) the course aligns with	
2) Assessment Instruments: What	
data/evidence, other than GPA, is used to	
assess the stated CCSU General Education	
outcomes? (e.g., capstone course, portfolio review, licensure examination, etc.)	
3) Interpretation: Who interprets the	
evidence? (e.g., faculty, Admn. assistant, etc.). If	
this differs by LO, provide information by LO	
4) Results : Since the most recent full report,	Conclusion:
list:	
a. The conclusion(s) drawn	
b. The changes that were or will be made as a	Changes:
result of those conclusion(s)	
5) Strengths: List ways in which your	
assessment process is working well.	
6) Improvements: List ways in which your	
assessment process needs to improve (a brief	
summary of changes to assessment plan can be	
reported here).	
7) Our department has not assessed its	
contribution to the General Education curriculum but our faculty are contributing to the Multi-State	
Collaborative. Please list faculty names.	
condition i reasons racarry riames.	

Interim reports: append clearly labeled supporting data tables, organized by LO