Response to the Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities Critique

Pursuant to the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies, Central Connecticut State University accepts the Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities' recommendation as voted on at its February 2020 meeting regarding the University's 2019 Affirmative Action Plan. This response addresses all proposals and/or recommendations made by the Commission.

Section	46a-68-78 – Policy Statement
PREVIOUS SUBMISSION:	Weak
RECOMMENDATIONS:	The Policy Statement was difficult to find in the proposed affirmative action plan. The policy statement belongs in this section of the proposed affirmative action plan not in Section 46a-68-80 External Communication.
UNIVERSITY RESPONSE:	The University has double checked to assure the Policy Statement is in the correct section of the Affirmative Action Plan.

Section	46a-68-80 – External Communication and Recruitment Strategies
PREVIOUS SUBMISSION:	Weak
RECOMMENDATIONS:	The SBE/MBE quarterly reports belong in this section of the proposed affirmative action plan not Section 46a-68-81 Assignment of Responsibility and Monitoring. There is no indication in this section that unions that represent university employees for collective bargaining purposes were notified that the university is an AA/EEO employer. Recruitment information should be in this section of the proposed affirmative action plan not Section 46a-68-81 Assignment of Responsibility and Monitoring. The Policy Statement and the notice inviting employees to review the affirmative action plan have been incorrectly placed in this section. Review Section 46a-68-80 of the Affirmative Action Regulations and the requirements of this section. The information that does not fulfill the requirements of this section of the Affirmative Action Regulations should be removed from future filings.
UNIVERSITY RESPONSE:	The University has put the SBE/MBE quarterly reports in Section 46a-68-80 — External Communication Section of this AAP. The University included CSCU is an AA/EEO employer in union notifications and it is included in the Affirmative Action Plan.

Section	46a-68-81 – Assignment of Responsibility
PREVIOUS SUBMISSION:	Compliance
RECOMMENDATIONS:	It is unclear as to why there are two Assignment of Responsibility and Monitoring sections. Future filings must contain only the requirements of this section of the Affirmative Action Regulations.

Response to CHRO 1

Response to the Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities Critique

UNIVERSITY RESPONSE:	The University has double checked to assure the Assignment of Responsibility
	contains all requirements of the Affirmative Action Regulations.

Section	46a-68-86 – Employment Analyses
PREVIOUS SUBMISSION:	Compliance
RECOMMENDATIONS:	Exit questionnaires are not a requirement of the Affirmative Action Regulations.
UNIVERSITY RESPONSE:	The University has not included Exit questionnaires in this section of the Affirmative Action Plan.

Section	46a-68-88 - Program Goals-
PREVOIUS SUBMISSION:	Compliance
RECOMMENDATIONS:	The focus of the program goals must be on policies, procedures, etc. that affect the employees of Central Connecticut State University. Programs for the student body are laudable, but the focus of the Affirmative Action Regulations is on the employment process for state employees.
UNIVERSITY RESPONSE:	The University has examined the policies and procedures that affect the employees and not student focused. Program goals will be set according the Affirmative Action Regulations.
Section	SECTION 46a-68-89 - Discrimination Complaint Process -
PREVIOUS SUBMISSION:	Weak
RECOMMENDATIONS:	The time frames for filing, processing, and resolution of internal complaints are not to exceed ninety (90) days. External investigators must be made aware of this requirement. If exceeding this time frame is unavoidable, then the complainant must be informed again as the 90th day is approaching of his/her right to file a complaint with the CHRO, EEOC, etc. so that the time frames for filing with enforcement agencies are not exceeded. The Commission notes that two investigations took over five hundred days and there were zero (0) complaints filed with the Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities.
UNIVERSITY RESPONSE:	The University has examined the internal and external complaint filing, processing and resolution process to be in compliant with CHRO, EEOC and other enforcement agencies filing requirements.

Response to CHRO 2

State of Connecticut



Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities

Central Office - 450 Columbus Blvd Ste 2, Hartford CT 06103

Promoting Equality and Justice for all People

PROPOSED AFFIRMATIVE ACTION PLAN

Central Connecticut State University AGENCY

REVIEW AND ANALYSIS: A COMPARATIVE EVALUATION

INTRODUCTION

SECTION 46a-68-102. STANDARD OF REVIEW

- (a) To receive approved status, a plan must contain all elements required by Sections 46a-68-78 through 46a-68-94, inclusive.
- (b) Additionally, a plan shall be approved only if:
 - (1) the work force, considered as a whole and by occupational category, is in parity; or
 - (2) the agency has met all or substantially all of its hiring, promotion and program goals during the reporting period; or
 - (3) the agency has demonstrated every good faith effort to achieve such goals and, despite these efforts, has been unable to do so; and
 - (4) the agency has substantially addressed deficiencies noted by the Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities.

SECTION 46a-68-103. PLAN REVIEW AND ANALYSIS

As part of the review process, a written evaluation of the plan shall be prepared by Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities staff. Such evaluation shall:

- assess the degree of procedural compliance with Regulations of CT State Agencies
- 2. identify and comment upon the deficiencies and weaknesses of the plan;
- 3. appraise the performance and effort of the agency in meeting its goals;
- 4. evaluate the effectiveness of the affirmative action program; and
- 5. suggest remedial action in addition to or in lieu of that proposed in the plan to achieve a balanced workforce and eliminate discriminatory practices.

SECTION 46a-68-78. Polic	y Statement			
PREVIOUS SUBMISSION:				
This section was in complia	nce in the prior fi	ling.		
PRESENT SUBMISSION:				
This section is	Deficient	Χ	Weak	In Compliance
PROPOSALS/RECOMMEN	DATIONS:			
The policy statement was statement belongs in this se 68-80 External Communicat	ction of the prop			
SECTION 46a-68-79. Inter	nal Communicati	<u>ion</u>		
PREVIOUS SUBMISSION:				
This section was in complia	nce in the previo	us filing		
PRESENT SUBMISSION:				
This section is	Deficient		_WeakX	_ In Compliance
SECTION 46a-68-80. Exte	nal Communica	tion and	l Recruitment Stra	<u>tegies</u>
PREVIOUS SUBMISSION:				
This section was in complia	nce in the previo	us filing	ı .	
PRESENT SUBMISSION:				
This section is	Deficient	Χ	Weak	In Compliance
PROPOSALS/RECOMMEN	DATIONS:			
The SBE/MBE quarterly repplan not Section 46a-68-81				

There is no indication in the proposed affirmative action plan that unions that represent university employees for collective bargaining purposes were notified that the university is an AA/EEO employer.

Recruitment information should be in this section of the proposed affirmative action plan not Section 46a-68-81 Assignment of Responsibility and Monitoring.

The policy statement and the notice inviting employees to review the affirmative action plan have been incorrectly placed in this section.

Review Section 46a-68-80 of the Affirmative Action Regulations and the requirements of this section. The information that does not fulfill the requirements of this section of the Affirmative Action Regulations should be removed from future filings.

SECTION 46a-68-81	. Assignment of Respon	sibility and Mon	itoring	
PREVIOUS SUBMIS	SION:			
This section was in co	ompliance in the previous	s filing.		
PRESENT SUBMISS	SION:			
This section is	Deficient	Weak	Х	In Compliance
PROPOSALS/RECO	MMENDATIONS:			
	there are two Assignmer ontain only the requireme			
<u>SECTION 46a-68-82</u>	. Organizational Analysi	<u>S</u>		
PREVIOUS SUBMIS	SION:			
This section was in co	ompliance in the prior fili	ng.		
PRESENT SUBMISS	SION:			
This section is	Deficient	Weak	Х	In Compliance
SECTION 46a-68-83	. Work Force Analysis			
PREVIOUS SUBMIS	SION:			
This section was in co	ompliance in the prior fili	ng.		
PRESENT SUBMISS	SION:			
This section is	Deficient	Weak	X	In Compliance

SECTION 46a-68-84. Av	vailability Analysis			
PREVIOUS SUBMISSIO	<u>N:</u>			
This section was in comp	bliance in the prior filing.			
PRESENT SUBMISSION	<u>l</u> :			
This section is	Deficient	Weak	X	In Compliance
SECTION 46a-68-85. Ut	tilization Analysis and Hi	ring and Pro	motion	<u>Goals</u>
PREVIOUS SUBMISSIO	<u>N:</u>			
This section was in comp	liance in the prior filing.			
PRESENT SUBMISSION	<u>l</u> :			
This section is	Deficient	Weak	Х	In Compliance
SECTION 46a-68-86. Er	mployment Analyses			
PREVIOUS SUBMISSIO	<u>N:</u>			
This section was in comp	liance in the prior filing.			
PRESENT SUBMISSION	<u>1</u> :			
This section is	Deficient	Weak	Х	In Compliance
PROPOSALS/RECOMM	ENDATIONS:			
Exit questionnaires are n	ot a requirement of the A	Affirmative A	ction Re	egulations.
<u>SECTION 46a-68-87. Id</u>	entification of Problem A	<u> Areas</u>		
PREVIOUS SUBMISSIO	<u>N:</u>			
This section was in comp	bliance in the prior filing.			
PRESENT SUBMISSION	<u>1</u> :			
This section is	Deficient	Weak	Χ	In Compliance

<u>SECTION 46a-68-88.</u>	Program Goals			
PREVIOUS SUBMISS	SION:			
This section was in co	mpliance in the prior fi	ing.		
PRESENT SUBMISS	ON:			
This section is	Deficient	Weak	Х	In Compliance
PROPOSALS/RECOM	MENDATIONS:			
employees of Central	gram goals must be of Connecticut State Unus of the Affirmative loyees.	iversity. Program	s for th	e student body are
SECTION 46a-68-89.	Discrimination Compl	aint Process		
PREVIOUS SUBMISS	SION:			
This section was in co	mpliance in the prior fi	ing.		
PRESENT SUBMISS	ON:			
This section is	Deficient	XWeak _		_ In Compliance
PROPOSALS/RECOM	MENDATIONS:			
exceed ninety (90) day If exceeding this time that as the 90th day is appeted in the file etc. so that the time for the commission notes that	iling, processing, and ys. External investigator frame is unavoidable, the roaching of his/her right rames for filing with enact two investigations to ed with the Commission	rs must be made nen the complain nt to file a compla forcement agenc ook over five hun	aware ant mus aint with ies are dared da	of this requirement. It be informed again the CHRO, EEOC, not exceeded. The ays and there were
<u>SECTION 46a-68-90.</u>	Goals Analysis			
PREVIOUS SUBMISS	SION:			
This section was in co	mpliance in the prior fi	ing.		
PRESENT SUBMISS	<u>ON</u> :			
This section is	Deficient	Weak	Х	In Compliance

SECTION 46a-68-91. Upw	ard Mobility			
PREVIOUS SUBMISSION:				
This section was in complia	ance in the prior filing.			
PRESENT SUBMISSION:				
This section is	Deficient	_Weak	Х	In Compliance
SECTION 46a-68-93. Inno	vative Programs			
PREVIOUS SUBMISSION:				
This section was in complia	ance in the prior filing.			
PRESENT SUBMISSION:				
This section is	Deficient	_Weak	Х	_ In Compliance
SECTION 46a-68-94. Con	cluding Statement			
PREVIOUS SUBMISSION:				
This section was in complia	ance in the prior filing.			
PRESENT SUBMISSION:				
This section is	Deficient	_Weak	Χ	_ In Compliance
CONCLUSION:				

The proposed affirmative action plan submitted by Central Connecticut State University for the filing date of November 30, 2019 has been voted APPROVED.

State of Connecticut



Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities

Central Office - 450 Columbus Blvd Ste 2, Hartford CT 06103

Promoting Equality and Justice for all People

PROPOSED AFFIRMATIVE ACTION PLAN

Central Connecticut State University AGENCY

REVIEW AND ANALYSIS: A COMPARATIVE EVALUATION

INTRODUCTION

SECTION 46a-68-102. STANDARD OF REVIEW

- (a) To receive approved status, a plan must contain all elements required by Sections 46a-68-78 through 46a-68-94, inclusive.
- (b) Additionally, a plan shall be approved only if:
 - (1) the work force, considered as a whole and by occupational category, is in parity; or
 - (2) the agency has met all or substantially all of its hiring, promotion and program goals during the reporting period; or
 - (3) the agency has demonstrated every good faith effort to achieve such goals and, despite these efforts, has been unable to do so; and
 - (4) the agency has substantially addressed deficiencies noted by the Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities.

SECTION 46a-68-103. PLAN REVIEW AND ANALYSIS

As part of the review process, a written evaluation of the plan shall be prepared by Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities staff. Such evaluation shall:

- assess the degree of procedural compliance with Regulations of CT State Agencies
- 2. identify and comment upon the deficiencies and weaknesses of the plan;
- 3. appraise the performance and effort of the agency in meeting its goals;
- 4. evaluate the effectiveness of the affirmative action program; and
- 5. suggest remedial action in addition to or in lieu of that proposed in the plan to achieve a balanced workforce and eliminate discriminatory practices.

SECTION 46a-68-78. Polic	y Statement			
PREVIOUS SUBMISSION:				
This section was in complia	nce in the prior fi	ling.		
PRESENT SUBMISSION:				
This section is	Deficient	Χ	Weak	In Compliance
PROPOSALS/RECOMMEN	DATIONS:			
The policy statement was statement belongs in this se 68-80 External Communicat	ction of the prop			
SECTION 46a-68-79. Inter	nal Communicati	<u>ion</u>		
PREVIOUS SUBMISSION:				
This section was in complia	nce in the previo	us filing		
PRESENT SUBMISSION:				
This section is	Deficient		_WeakX	_ In Compliance
SECTION 46a-68-80. Exte	nal Communica	tion and	l Recruitment Stra	<u>tegies</u>
PREVIOUS SUBMISSION:				
This section was in complia	nce in the previo	us filing	ı .	
PRESENT SUBMISSION:				
This section is	Deficient	Χ	Weak	In Compliance
PROPOSALS/RECOMMEN	DATIONS:			
The SBE/MBE quarterly repplan not Section 46a-68-81				

There is no indication in the proposed affirmative action plan that unions that represent university employees for collective bargaining purposes were notified that the university is an AA/EEO employer.

Recruitment information should be in this section of the proposed affirmative action plan not Section 46a-68-81 Assignment of Responsibility and Monitoring.

The policy statement and the notice inviting employees to review the affirmative action plan have been incorrectly placed in this section.

Review Section 46a-68-80 of the Affirmative Action Regulations and the requirements of this section. The information that does not fulfill the requirements of this section of the Affirmative Action Regulations should be removed from future filings.

SECTION 46a-68-81	. Assignment of Respon	sibility and Mon	itoring	
PREVIOUS SUBMIS	SION:			
This section was in co	ompliance in the previous	s filing.		
PRESENT SUBMISS	ION:			
This section is	Deficient	Weak	Х	In Compliance
PROPOSALS/RECO	MMENDATIONS:			
	there are two Assignmer ontain only the requireme			
<u>SECTION 46a-68-82</u>	Organizational Analysi	<u>s</u>		
PREVIOUS SUBMIS	SION:			
This section was in co	ompliance in the prior fili	ng.		
PRESENT SUBMISS	ION:			
This section is	Deficient	Weak	Х	In Compliance
SECTION 46a-68-83	. Work Force Analysis			
PREVIOUS SUBMIS	SION:			
This section was in co	ompliance in the prior fili	ng.		
PRESENT SUBMISS	ION:			
This section is	Deficient	Weak	X	In Compliance

SECTION 46a-68-84. Av	vailability Analysis			
PREVIOUS SUBMISSIO	<u>N:</u>			
This section was in comp	liance in the prior filing.			
PRESENT SUBMISSION	<u>l</u> :			
This section is	Deficient	Weak	X	In Compliance
SECTION 46a-68-85. Ut	ilization Analysis and Hi	ring and Pro	motion	<u>Goals</u>
PREVIOUS SUBMISSIO	<u>N:</u>			
This section was in comp	liance in the prior filing.			
PRESENT SUBMISSION	<u>l</u> :			
This section is	Deficient	Weak	Х	In Compliance
SECTION 46a-68-86. Er	mployment Analyses			
PREVIOUS SUBMISSIO	<u>N:</u>			
This section was in comp	liance in the prior filing.			
PRESENT SUBMISSION	<u>l</u> :			
This section is	Deficient	Weak	Х	In Compliance
PROPOSALS/RECOMM	ENDATIONS:			
Exit questionnaires are n	ot a requirement of the A	Affirmative A	ction Re	egulations.
<u>SECTION 46a-68-87. Id</u>	entification of Problem A	<u> Areas</u>		
PREVIOUS SUBMISSIO	<u>N:</u>			
This section was in comp	liance in the prior filing.			
PRESENT SUBMISSION	<u>1</u> :			
This section is	Deficient	Weak	Χ	In Compliance

<u>SECTION 46a-68-88.</u>	Program Goals			
PREVIOUS SUBMISS	SION:			
This section was in co	mpliance in the prior fi	ling.		
PRESENT SUBMISS	ON:			
This section is	Deficient	Weak	Х	In Compliance
PROPOSALS/RECOM	MENDATIONS:			
employees of Central	gram goals must be of Connecticut State Unus of the Affirmative loyees.	iversity. Program	s for th	e student body are
SECTION 46a-68-89.	Discrimination Compl	aint Process		
PREVIOUS SUBMISS	SION:			
This section was in co	mpliance in the prior fi	ling.		
PRESENT SUBMISS	ON:			
This section is	Deficient	XWeak _		_ In Compliance
PROPOSALS/RECOM	MENDATIONS:			
exceed ninety (90) day If exceeding this time that as the 90th day is appeted in the file etc. so that the time for the commission notes that	iling, processing, and ys. External investigator frame is unavoidable, the roaching of his/her right rames for filing with enact two investigations to ed with the Commission	ors must be made the complainant to file a compla forcement agenc took over five hun	aware ant mus aint with ies are dared da	of this requirement. to be informed again the CHRO, EEOC, not exceeded. The ays and there were
<u>SECTION 46a-68-90.</u>	Goals Analysis			
PREVIOUS SUBMISS	SION:			
This section was in co	mpliance in the prior fi	ling.		
PRESENT SUBMISS	<u>ON</u> :			
This section is	Deficient	Weak	Х	In Compliance

SECTION 46a-68-91. Upw	ard Mobility			
PREVIOUS SUBMISSION:				
This section was in complia	ance in the prior filing.			
PRESENT SUBMISSION:				
This section is	Deficient	_Weak	Х	In Compliance
SECTION 46a-68-93. Inno	vative Programs			
PREVIOUS SUBMISSION:				
This section was in complia	ance in the prior filing.			
PRESENT SUBMISSION:				
This section is	Deficient	_Weak	Х	_ In Compliance
SECTION 46a-68-94. Con	cluding Statement			
PREVIOUS SUBMISSION:				
This section was in complia	ance in the prior filing.			
PRESENT SUBMISSION:				
This section is	Deficient	_Weak	Χ	_ In Compliance
CONCLUSION:				

The proposed affirmative action plan submitted by Central Connecticut State University for the filing date of November 30, 2019 has been voted APPROVED.