

## Committee on Academic Advising

August 31, 2023

### Minutes

Attendance: Gena Givens, Steven Block, Eric Leonidas, Parul Khurana, Monica Rivera, Larry Hall, Surbhi Patel, Viktoria Savatrova, Sharon Cox, Inez Vera, Lauren Veronneau, Adina Elfant, Cristina Higham

Meeting began: 12:18 PM

Approval of Minutes - April 27, 2023 – Motion to approve Eric Leonidas, Seconded by Viktoria Savatrova

#### I. Co-Chair Updates

- a. Two resolutions from last Spring endorsed by Faculty Senate
  - i. Provided to Provost in May
- b. Gena shared that at the end of Spring 2023 the Provost invited faculty from CAA and those on task force to a meeting to discuss overall impression on advising at CCSU.
- c. 2023-2024 Meeting Dates – 8/31, 9/28, 10/26, 11/30, 1/25, 2/29, 3/28, 4/25

#### New Business

##### I. Committee Leadership Nominations/Elections

- a. Monica Rivera will co-chair with Gena Givens
- b. Cristina Higham will serve as secretary  
Motion to approve the nominations by Eric Leonidas, seconded by Viktoria Savatrova

##### II. Student member (appointed by SGA)

- a. Gena will reach out to SGA to see if we can obtain a student rep

##### III. Meeting Format (virtual or in-person)

- a. Motion to approve to continue to meet via TEAMS by Viktoria Savatrova, seconded by Eric Leonidas

##### IV. Goals 2023-2024 Academic Year:

- a. Endorsed Advising Task Force Report Recommendations:
  - i. The Committee should complete an Annual Review of the Dual Advising Model and report the findings to the Faculty Senate.
  - ii. The task force encourages the Committee to regularly liaison with deans regarding advising.
  - iii. The Committee should advocate for and oversee faculty training on academic advising.
    1. with the exception that this item should be cross-training between faculty and professional advisors.

\*Gena will send out a Microsoft form to see if there is enough interest to create subcommittees on these three goals

b. Discuss of any other proposed committee goals.

i. Sharon Cox suggested reaching out to students who were in the pilot version of dual advising to assess their thoughts on dual advising, ask if the student was aware they had two advisors and if dual advising was utilized

Meeting adjourned at 12:55PM