

Grants 2022-2023 Request for Proposals

The Center for Excellence in Social and Emotional Learning (CESEL) at Central Connecticut State University is focused on fostering the development of social and emotional well-being across the lifespan through *Education*, *Advocacy*, and *Research*.

To support this vision and the efforts of those who endeavor to contribute to the ongoing research and practice of social and emotional learning (SEL) in education and related fields, CESEL has established the CESEL Research Grant program, thanks to an endowment from the Ana Grace Project.

Grant Priorities

The CESEL Leadership Team is soliciting proposals from members of the CCSU community (and their collaborative partners, where appropriate) for research projects and initiatives that seek to:

- 1. build shared knowledge among researchers and practitioners about systemic implementation of SEL in learning and work environments;
- 2. explore organizational factors that build capacity in SEL practices to support learning for children and adults; and
- 3. center SEL in investigating organizational problems of practice focused on improvement of equitable outcomes and well-being for all.

Examples of research projects include (but are not limited to):

- evaluation of SEL practices applied in context, with an emphasis on systemic approaches to issues of equity and diversity.
- investigation of the role(s) of SEL in design-based organizational improvement to address equity challenges.
- multi-disciplinary research projects on best practices for adapting curriculum to meet the SEL learning needs of students and adults.

• conceptualizations of leadership professional learning related to SEL for equity in diverse student populations.

The CESEL Research Grant program will fund two proposals, preferably one from the field of education, and one from associated professional fields (e.g., social work, counseling, nursing, and business). Proposals may be submitted by individual CCSU faculty members (part-time or full-time), jointly by CCSU faculty members, by CCSU faculty and students, or by entire departments. An applicant may not be associated with more than one proposal. Total funding available through the grant program is up to \$1,000 per award. Awards may cover costs of stipends (with justification), educational materials, conference or workshop attendance, guest speakers, the hosting of workshops or retreats, and similar curricular and professional enhancements.

Timeline:

- Request for Proposals (RFP) Announcement: September 15, 2022.
- Application Deadline: November 1, 2022, by 5 pm.
- Announcement of Awards: November 30, 2022
 Grant Period: Funds must be expended by December 31, 2023
- Reporting: Final report describing activities and outcomes is due by January 31, 2024.
- Awardees also are required to present findings at the CCSU/CESEL-sponsored SEL conference, to be scheduled in Spring 2024.

Proposals:

Proposals should be in the form of a single MS Word or PDF document including:

- Cover sheet (template below)
- Proposal Narrative
- Budget (template below)
- Two-page curriculum vitae of applicants
- Supporting documentation (if any)

<u>Cover Sheet</u>: Should include date of submission, descriptive title of research proposal, name(s), position, and department affiliation(s) of applicant(s).

<u>Narrative</u>: Should be no more than approximately 1,200 words within five double-spaced pages and include the following:

- Descriptive Title of research proposal
- **Description of Activity and Goals** of proposed project, including how the project addresses and how the goals are aligned with the priorities of the grant as described above,

- **Significance** of project for student and adult learners, department(s), the university, P-12 school district(s), or other relevant entities, including how the research may inform enhanced SEL practices for equitable outcomes and well-being.
- **Methodology /Work Plan** of proposed research, timeline, and plan of action for processes to be completed to accomplish the objectives of the project, demonstrating completion of project by December 31, 2023

Human Subjects

If your research involves human subjects, you must so indicate on the proposal cover sheet. Once a project is funded, the awardee must seek approval from the CCSU Institutional Review Board (IRB) for human research subjects. You should contact the IRB committee for information on submission procedures and timing. In no case should work with human beings as research subjects be undertaken until the proper approval is obtained. The review of the proposal will include notification to the applicant(s) regarding the need for compliance according to the procedures mandated by the IRB. Failure to obtain the proper approval may result in the termination of your award and the recovery of any funds awarded for research expenses including stipends. Letters of approval from the IRB must be attached to the final report.

• Final Report

- Results/Outcomes, including findings and implications for the field of practice
- **Implications and Evaluation of Impact** for the field of practice, beyond the scope of the project
- Dissemination plan including publications, conference presentations and exhibits (must include participation at CCSU CESEL Spring Conference)

Budget: A clear and complete budget itemizing expenses, justifying each item, and documenting each item's cost when possible.

Applicants are encouraged to address all of the required elements above, as they will be the basis of the rubric upon which applications are scored.

Supporting Documentation: Proposals that support the development of new courses, curricula, programs, or the like will be aided by confirmation from the relevant department chair, dean, or other entity that the course/program/etc. is realistic in terms of staffing and budgeting, and likely to be implemented.

Decision Guidelines: This competition is based on consideration of the alignment of the goals of proposed projects with the priorities of the grant, as well as multiple impacts to the CCSU community. Proposals are scored according to their compliance

with the submission guidelines. The scoring rubric used by the CSEL Committee is attached for guidance.

Applications should be sent as a single MS Word or PDF document by 5:00 p.m. on November 1, 2022 to Dr. Toni Ryan, CESEL Research Coordinator, at amryan@ccsu.edu Office. The CESEL Leadership Team will commence review of all following the grant application deadline.



2022-2023 REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS

Grant Proposal Cover Sheet

SUBMISSION DATE	
PRIMARY FACULTY APPLICANT	
EMAIL ADDRESS	
DEPARTMENT	
TITLE OF PROPOSAL	
Faculty Co-Applicant(s) and	
Department/Institutional Affiliation	
Email Address	

AMOUNT	\$
REQUESTED:	

CESEL Grant 2022-2023 BUDGET TEMPLATE

Budget Item	Amount	Justification Briefly describe proposed expenditures, their adequacy, appropriateness, and importance. *
Total Need		
Less other funds sought/available (if applicable)		**
Total Request		

* **Include documentation** to further justify budget (e.g., copies of published materials related to items in your budget such as airfare, mileage, registration fees, hotel rates, equipment, materials, etc.). Justification for proposed expenditures is taken into account when determining funding level. Documentation is indispensable for funding travel.

**If applicable, explain what additional resources are being sought to support the proposed activity.

Please be sure to incorporate your completed budget and supporting documentation into the body of your proposal to create a single document. Submit the resulting document to <u>amryan@ccsu.edu</u>



Center for Excellence in Social & Emotional Learning SCHOOL OF EDUCATION & PROFESSIONAL STUDIES

GRANT PROPOSAL SCORING RUBRIC

	4	3	1	0	Comments/Notes
Criterion	Exemplary	Adequate	Needs	Insufficient	
			Improvement	Evidence	
	Project represents the	Project represents	Project represents	No innovation	
Project	implementation of new	localimplementation	practice(s)	describedor specific	
	insight or idea, with	of emerging	commonplace within	potential improvement	
Innovation	potential benefits of	innovation ortrend,	field, or an adoption of	defined.	
	research in or	with potential	an SEL approach with		
	approach to SEL	benefits of research	well-established		
	made clear.	or SEL approach specified.	benefits. Innovation or insight needed		
	Strong rationale	Rationale or	Weak presentation of	Unconvincing or no	
Rationale	and significance	significance of project	institutional or	evidence of need	
Tationale	of	to address	community need for	presented, or grant	
	proposed work.	institutional or	SEL, or tenuous	proposal does not	
	Addressesspecific	community need in	argument for grant	addressstated need.	
	need(s) with regard to	SEL tends toward the	project's ability to		
	SEL common among	too-specific or too-	address need.		
	peer institutions.	general, but overall			
		argument holds.			
Relationship to	Project outcomes or	Project elements in	Project tangentially	No explicit relationship	
Organizational	activities regarding SEL	SEL align with goals of	butnot directly	between project and	
and/or	align with both	either the organization	related to	the agenda of its	
Community	organizational vision	or its greater	organizational	organizationor	
Goals	andgoals of greater	community, but not	strategicvision or	community.	
	community.	both.	community goals		
			regarding SEL.		

Feasibility	Personnel, project activities timeline, and budget expenditures congruent with project description and outcomes.	Deficiencies or overestimations exist in personnel, timeline, or budget within tolerable range, outcomes appear achievable despite gaps orleaps.	Project's assembled personnel, timeline, or budget expose weaknesses in plan design. Outcomes unlikelyto be achieved in project's current form.	Insufficient information about personnel, projectactivities timeline, or budget expenditures to gauge feasibility.	
Assessment	Clear picture of how data will be collected and usedto demonstrate degree towhich SEL outcomes are met.	Good understanding of anticipated specific results or success in SEL, but plan lacks some details about data or methods.	Success difficult to ascertain, flawed by untestable outcomes, inappropriate methods, orlack of useful data collection.	Evaluation plans missingor unusable.	
Sustainability	Evidence presented that project or its impact in SEL can be sustained locally beyond grant period, if results warrant.	Project is temporary, designed to end when grant ends, or some effort to secure commitment to SEL beyond grant period is represented.	Plans for future are stated as assumptions without supporting arguments or evidence.	No meaningful plans forfuture beyond funding term appear in proposal	
TOTAL SCORE Recommend Funding?	Yes – Full funding	Partial \$	Not at this time	COMMENTS/FEEDBACK	